

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/651,917 08/29/2003 Nathan S. Lewis 06618-369004 1073 **EXAMINER** 41790 7590 **BUCHANAN INGERSOLL LLP** HANDY, DWAYNE K (INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 12230 EL CAMINO REAL SUITE 300 1743 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(a)
Office Action Summary			Applicant(s)
		10/651,917	LEWIS ET AL.
	ooo / lot/oii Gaillinary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAIL ING DATE - S.U.	Dwayne K. Handy	1743
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 At	iaust 2003	
		action is non-final.	
-			sociation as to the morito is
٠,۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
•			
Disposition of Claims			
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)∐	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/29/03.  S Patent and Today and Tod			

Application/Control Number: 10/651,917

**Art Unit: 1743** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Oath/Declaration

1. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02. The oath or declaration is defective because:

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602. Applicant has misidentified the Application number in the Oath. Appropriate correction is required.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28-31 and 37 of U.S. Patent No.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

6,759,010. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claims 28 and 31 teach sensor arrays comprised of electrical leads connected by two different materials – one being conductive. Claims 28 and 31, then, fully encompass the instant claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lewis (5,571,401). Lewis teaches sensor arrays for detecting analytes in a fluid. The sensors comprise first and second electrical leads coupled to and separated by a chemically sensitive resistor which provides an electrical path between the conductive elements. The resistor comprises a plurality of alternating nonconductive regions (comprising a nonconductive organic polymer) and conductive regions (a conductive material) transverse to the electrical path. (Abstract). Lewis discloses the sensors generally in column 3, lines 49-59: "Generally, the resistors are fabricated by blending a

Page 4

**Art Unit: 1743** 

conductive material with a nonconductive organic polymer such that the electrically conductive path between the leads coupled to the resistor is interrupted by gaps of nonconductive material. Then, Lewis suggests that fabrication may be done from "a colloid, suspension or dispersion of particulate conductive material in a matrix of nonconductive organic polymer material."

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Say et al. 6. (6,103,033). Say ('033) teaches methods for synthesizing an electrochemical biosensor. In a preferred embodiment, the method includes steps of providing a continuous substrate web, and disposing a pattern of a conductive material on the continuous substrate web to form one or more working electrodes and/or counter electrodes (Abstract). Say teaches material choices for the substrate materials in columns 6 and 7 and begins reciting steps of forming the conducting traces at the bottom of column 8. Moving to column 9, lines 32-48: "The conductive traces are typically formed using a conductive materials such as carbon, a conductive polymer, a metal or alloy or a metallic compound. The formation of films of carbon, conductive polymer, metal, alloy, or metallic compound are well-known and include - for example -CVD, physical vapor deposition, sputtering, reactive sputtering, printing, coating, and painting. Later in column 9, line 63 - column 10, line 11, Say teaches the addition of a binder material that may be used to secure the conductive material to the substrate. The Examiner considers this binder to be a second material that is non-conductive and different from the first conductive material.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,917

Art Unit: 1743

### Conclusion

Page 5

7. The Examiner notes Applicant's use of product-by-process language in the instant claims. The Examiner wishes to remind Applicant that to meet the limitations of the claims, the Examiner merely need to provide the product itself to anticipate the claim under U.S.C. 102. Please see MPEP 2113.

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lewis et al. (6,610,367 and 6,387,329) show sensor arrays comprised of conductive and non-conductive blends placed between electrical leads.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/651,917

**Art Unit: 1743** 

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKH October 16, 2005

> / Jill Warden Super/ibory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700